“Fissures in Chicago Methodism in the Progressive Era:

Three Mission Strategies”

Had you taken a walk around the often unpaved, mud-worn block in an urban tenement neighborhood in Chicago or any other large American city at the turn of the twentieth century, you would have strolled by edifices dedicated to a mission to help the neighborhood, like a settlement house and a Salvation Army rescue mission. No doubt you also would have bumped into women on a similar mission, like an upper-class, Chicago Woman’s Club friendly visitor from the Charity Organization Society, a deaconess dressed in the distinctive garb of a long black, gathered-at-the-waist dress and matching bonnet, and a Salvation Army lassie—a Sallie—wearing clothes that closely approximated the dress of a working-class woman. Each of these worked to circumstances for those living in the tenements—the destitute, prostitute, addict, and immigrant—but their strategies differed markedly, particularly with regard to religion.

A retreat from anything remotely religious characterized the Charity Organization Society movement (COS), founded in 1877. The COS aimed, as its name implied, to organize charity by coordinating the “resources of its community and to refer ‘deserving’ applicants to the appropriate agency.”¹ Its work of visitation and assessment depended on a corps of volunteers known as friendly visitors, many of whom were club women, such as several hundred members of the Chicago Woman’s Club.² With optimism and pluck, friendly visitors aimed to inculcate a sense of responsibility in the poor and needy for their own welfare, because the visitors believed such an attitude would reverse an impoverished situation.

Armed with a clear purpose and business-like efficiency, COS friendly visitors walked through and systematically observed city neighborhoods to gather information about
conditions—“street, alley, and sidewalk conditions; housing, schools, and churches; infant
mortality rates, numbers of children, and juvenile delinquency; parks, playgrounds, dance halls,
saloons, hotels, jails, and courts.” During home visits, they were to notice everything in
excruciating detail—“the client’s mental and physical condition, the manners of his children and
the domestic skills of his wife, his salary, occupation, affiliations, debts, recreational tastes, and
personal peccadillos.” These close inspections enabled the visitors to recommend appropriate
forms of aid, if any, to the deserving, and to be the frontline in detecting fraudulent use by
“unworthy citizens” who received unnecessary aid. This aspect of the COS sparked
condemnation from Jane Addams, founder of Hull House, an early and influential settlement
house in Chicago, as well as the anonymous poet who composed this lyric: “The organized
charity scrimped and iced / In the name of a cautious, statistical Christ.”

Josephine Lowell Shaw, founder and long-time director of the New York COS,
disavowed “the sentimental, morality-laden, and indiscriminate relief” offered by the Salvation
Army rescue mission or other such organizations that integrated religion. Instead, she
enthusiastically adhered to COS policy of giving minimal direct relief and then only to those
deemed as deserving. According to Shaw, “human nature is so constituted that no man can
receive as a gift what he should earn by his own labor without moral deterioration.” Based on
this rationale, Shaw challenged the Salvation Army’s plan to increase the number of rescue
missions in New York City, charging that even more vagrants would come to town for the free
food and shelter. In her position as chair of the Committee on Vagrancy of the Conference of
Charities, Shaw held sharp exchanges with Frederick Booth-Tucker, then co-Commander of The
Salvation Army in the United States. During their dispute, Shaw declared that “a man had no
right to be homeless.” Booth-Tucker’s response was swift and direct. “What can one say to talk
like that? I told her men were homeless and would always be so … Men starve while their agents are spending money finding out who they are. … We can’t find work for men and women in many cases, but we can relieve distress, and that’s what we’ll go on doing while they go on investigating.”

While COS visitors refrained from integrating religion into their outreach, the Salvation Army and evangelical rescue workers purposefully reached out to the soul and the body through a combination of evangelism and humanitarianism. Many evangelicals arrived at a tenement with a religious message, only to realize quickly the value of caring for the body as well. Historian Norris Magnuson finds a “large body of earnest evangelicals who entered the slums because of their concern for the souls of men and women, but who soon developed wide-ranging social service programs.” Those engaged in what he calls, evangelical social work, either launched or expanded their institution building during the Progressive Era. Take the Salvation Army. In a span of two decades after founder William Booth commissioned a group of eight to begin work in the United States, a staggering expanse of outreach institutions had already opened from New York to San Francisco, including “forty-nine men’s shelters and five women’s shelters; fourteen rescue homes; twenty-three food depots; twenty-three workshops, factories, and labor yards; twenty slum posts; three farm colonies; three hospitals; and two homes for waifs and strays.”

During her tenure as Commander of The Salvation Army in the United States from 1904-1934, Evangeline, the youngest of seven Booth children, doubled the number of institutions from approximately 200 to 400. However, in the midst of such institutionalization, she endeavored to keep at the forefront the Army’s original evangelistic intention. In her speech before the International Missionary Council, a forerunner of the World Council of Churches, she reiterated the significance of individual salvation to the Army’s work. “The question that Salvationists
address to the individual is whether he accepts or rejects Christ. Acceptance means that Christ is admitted to and dwells within the soul.”

Religion in the settlement house movement was a divisive issue. Settlement work, which grew from a handful of settlement houses in the United States in 1890 to more than 400 by 1910, focused on facilitating relationships between settlement workers and their underprivileged neighbors. It provided myriad opportunities for urban neighbors to come together across class boundaries through “lectures, classes, plays, pageants, kindergarten, and child care.” Along with community-based activism, settlement houses emerged as institutions focused on the pressing issues of the day, from immigration and urbanization to poverty and health care. Settlement workers, many with a college degree and middle-class upbringing, purposefully took up residence in tenement neighborhoods and worked with residents to develop supportive ventures, from manual job training courses to a safe neighborhood playground.

Many settlement workers, despite the childhood influence of a religious home, eschewed the direct expression of religious sentiments in deference to the interreligious nature of these neighborhoods. “The typical settlement, under American conditions, is one which provides neutral territory traversing all the lines of racial and religious cleavage,” wrote the editors of the 1911 *Handbook of Settlements*. As we will see, a settlement worker who held this opinion, Mary E. McDowell, even though she was active in a Methodist church as a teenager and young adult, disdained the direct integration of religion into settlement work. However, other settlement workers, argues historian Elisabeth Lasch-Quinn, particularly African Americans, assumed a different stance on religion, because “they shared a culture in which religion played a central role.” She finds that settlement work among African Americans, and also in the South, occurred most often “through missions, institutional churches, or other organizations with a strong
religious component.” Further, she claims that an exclusionary policy on religion often lent a sense of elitism to settlement work.

Methodist women were well represented in this diverse landscape of mission strategies in the Progressive Era. In Chicago alone, three strategies emerged simultaneously under the Wesleyan umbrella: humanitarianism as a means to evangelism (Iva Durham Vennard), humanitarianism and evangelism as complementary tasks (Lucy Rider Meyer) and humanitarianism without any religious component (Mary McDowell). This paper will investigate each strategy, specifically its underlying rationale, practical components, and connection to other Progressive Era organizations. In the conclusion, I will draw insights from this historical case study for developing a mission strategy for the twenty-first century.

**Humanitarianism as “bait on the hook” for Evangelism: Iva Durham Vennard**

Iva Durham Vennard (1871-1945) was converted at age twelve and joined the Methodist Church. Six years later, she claimed sanctification after attending first a holiness meeting in Decatur, Illinois, and then a revival preached by holiness evangelist, the Reverend Joseph H. Smith. Following graduation from Illinois State Normal University in 1890, she taught school for several years before heading into full-time evangelistic work. In 1895, after hearing a lecture on the deaconess movement by Lucy Rider Meyer, she trained as a deaconess in Buffalo, then, as a deaconess evangelist, she traveled to Methodist churches throughout New York state to hold evangelistic meetings. Three years later, her supervisor, Jane Bancroft Robinson, appointed her as deaconess-at-large for the Woman’s Home Missionary Society, and she traveled across the country promoting deaconess work. Even though Vennard protested that this role sidelined her evangelistic work, which remained always her first priority, Robinson did not budge.
At a vocational crossroad, Vennard attended a summer camp meeting in 1897 at Mountain Lake Park in the Allegheny Mountains of Western Maryland. She had come to this Methodist camp meeting ground, bordered by Victorian-style cottages topped with gingerbread trim, set amidst 800 acres of mountain scenery and pristine air, to find respite from her grueling travel schedule and disappointment over her work for the Methodist Deaconess Bureau. She also took the opportunity to be the stenographer recording in shorthand the first Itinerant Institute on Evangelism, a set of lectures given by Smith. Through these lectures, Smith provided a modicum of practical training in evangelism, such as crafting evangelistic sermons, working the altar, and raising money. As Vennard’s pen flew across the page, capturing his words in every shorthand dot and line, her own “illumination,” as she would later refer to it, took shape for a religious training school steeped in evangelism. Five years later, in 1902, she opened Epworth Evangelistic Institute in St. Louis. She served as principal until 1910, then resigned, moved to Chicago, and founded Chicago Evangelistic Institute (which became Vennard College), a coeducational, holiness training school, which she led for over thirty years until her death in 1945.

Vennard set out a clear, no nonsense mission strategy: Engage in humanitarianism as the “bait on the hook” for evangelism. Evangelism, the presentation of the gospel message, stood as her first priority because she believed that every person must ultimately have to choose either eternal life through salvation in Jesus Christ or eternal damnation. She had already come to this conviction before her 21st birthday, because she presented it in an essay delivered at the Illinois State Normal University’s commencement exercises. In “The Spirit of Negation,” she spoke of the conflicted, yet inescapable choice between good and evil that pervaded such literary masterpieces as Paradise Lost, Faust, and the book of Job. “This mighty struggle between good
and evil,” she declared, “is the essence of all character whether of the race, or of the individual. It
is as old as man. It is his life.” She extrapolated that each individual must make this choice
while being transported in lifeboats “launched for Eternity.” On each side of the lifeboat,
“whirlpools of Temptation”—envy and ambition, cowardice and deceit, greed and selfishness—
call out to the passengers.

Continuing on, she declared, “On every side, every craft is threatened. The voyage cannot
be abandoned. All have launched for Eternity. They are hurrying to the great Unknown. Is a
disaster unavoidable? Must the shattered crack enter the infinite ocean, a wreck? Must life be a
tragedy?” These rhetorical questions she answered with a resounding, “No!,” because of “the
care of the kind Pilot” who guides to safety the ones who choose the heavenly route; it is these
whom God will “anchor victoriously in the peaceful harbor of Heaven.” Amidst this nautical
scene, the evangelist’s duty, according to Vennard, is to warn individuals away from Satan’s
shore and direct them toward God’s safe harbor. One can almost hear the wistful strains of a
verse from Philip Bliss’ hymn, “Brightly Beams Our Father’s Mercy,” which he penned from a
seafaring illustration by Dwight L. Moody: “Brightly Beams Our Father’s Mercy from His
lighthouse evermore./But to us He gives the keeping of the lights along the shore./Let the lower
lights be burning! Send a gleam across the wave!/Some poor struggling, sinking sailor you may
rescue, you may save.”

Evangelism pointed out the path of “permanent reform.” As Vennard would later
articulate, “… important as education and humanitarian relief are, nothing short of the new birth
through the Holy Spirit can bring souls into vital union with Christ. And this spiritual life and
power is imperative if we hope for permanent reform. There is a reason why multitudes are
‘submerged.’ Ignorance is one great reason, to be sure, but sin is at the bottom, and the same
influences that have brought many to pauperism will keep them there unless they are taught to appropriate the Divine Power, which alone can enable them to conquer. Shiftlessness and drunkenness and immorality will not be cured by anything less than the Atoning Blood.”

Humanitarianism held second place to evangelism, because it dealt with temporary assistance—food, clothing, shelter, job training—as opposed to eternal salvation; nevertheless it remained an integral component of mission. In other words, she did not care only about the soul, an all too commonplace stance according to the Rev. Josiah Strong, Congregational minister, General Secretary of the Evangelical Alliance, and early proponent of the Social Gospel. Strong critiqued the following remarks spoken by “a prominent representative” of the church: “‘The church has no business with a man’s dirty face; the church has no business with a man’s naked back; the church has no business with a man’s empty stomach. The Church has just one business with a man, and that is to save his soul.’” Vennard too dismissed such sentiments, noting “We are using humanitarian methods constantly, and must of necessity do so, to come into contact with the people whom we long to serve. We are caring for their bodies, we are educating their minds, we are helping them in business matters …” “But if we stop there we have not reached the soul. … The enemy is constantly trying to make us content with mere philanthrophy (sic.) But the Spirit of God forces the issue upon us that all this service, Christlike as it is, in its compassionate ministry, is only the bate (sic) on the hook to catch the fish.”

Vennard used the same colloquial fishing analogy to explain her rationale for launching yet another settlement work in Chicago during the Progressive Era. Before opening Wayside Settlement, she had toured several settlement houses in the city, including Jane Addam’s influential Hull House. While Vennard expressed positive observations about their humanitarianism, she noted the absence of “anything distinctively religious,” since settlement
workers “stop short of a personal Saviour offering a full and free salvation to all to whom it ministers.” To the question—Why another settlement in Chicago?—she responded with her fishing analogy. “The answer is simple. We have opened “The Wayside” because we believe that foreigners, poor people, and all classes need salvation. We must do more than serve our people through humanitarian service. These temporal benefits we gladly give, but they are the bait for our hook, and we do not feel that we have ever done our best for a family until we have brought them to know Jesus...”

Humanitarianism Without Evangelism: Mary E. McDowell

At the other end of the spectrum from Vennard was another Methodist, Mary E. McDowell (1854-1936), Director of The University of Chicago Settlement. Like Vennard, McDowell became active in a Methodist church at age 12 when her father, whom she idolized, left the Episcopal church to which her mother belonged and joined a little Methodist chapel, which the working-class frequented in the industrial section along the Cincinnati waterfront. As McDowell recounted, “The pillars of the church were ship carpenters, quaint old men whom Charles Dickens would have enjoyed.” She traced what she called her “social faith” to that Methodist chapel, particularly the class meeting held in “Mother” Scherer’s “best room” and led by “Father” Scherer, whose hands were “gnarled” by laborious use. Every week, he asked her, “Well, little sister, how is your soul this week?” Years later, she offered this response to his question:

The soul was a reality to this old saint and became, to the wee girl, a precious something that had to do with my every-day actions and life with folks. Since then, all through life it has been to me that self that must win out in the end,
by ‘doing justly, loving mercy, and walking humbly’ as the sacrifice well pleasing to the Heavenly Father. “Father” Sherer, with all his limitations, when he laid his old hands on my young head stamped upon my imagination a pattern to follow and consecrated me to right relationships with my fellows.30 She grasped an opportunity to put her “social faith” into practice when, shortly after the family moved to Chicago, the great Chicago fire broke out. McDowell, now seventeen, spearheaded the evacuation of refugees and their belongings using the family horse hitched to an old wagon.

When her family moved again to Evanston, north of the city, she and her father became active in the First United Methodist Church in Evanston, which Frances Willard also attended. Her father became a class leader of young adults, and together they read—and immersed themselves—in Washington Gladden’s Applied Christianity. This book, according to historian Susan Curtis, solidified McDowell’s belief that religion must “express itself in the everyday actions of those who professed it.”31 And that’s what she did through her settlement work, for a brief time with Jane Addams at Hull House, then for forty years as Director of The University of Chicago Settlement House in the city’s Twenty-ninth Ward, or “Back of the Yards,” so named due to its close proximity to the Chicago stockyards.

McDowell’s “everyday actions” through her settlement work were wide ranging. She, along with her neighbors, successfully lobbied the city to keep the neighborhood’s alleys cleaner with trash receptacles at each back gate and for a public bathhouse which, in August, 1900, was used by 12,000 people. For the children of the neighborhood, she spent $25 to create a simple playground with a sandbox and swing, set up garden spaces which for a penny a week, a child had use of it to tend and cultivate vegetables and flowers, launched a vacation school during the summer, and established a kindergarten. Other projects pursued through the settlement house
included a lending library, adult classes in English and citizenship, and open-air movies.

McDowell’s “everyday actions” earned her three nicknames: “Fighting Lady” because she stood in solidarity with striking workers in the 1904 stockyards strike; “Garbage Lady” because she organized the neighborhood to carry protests to Chicago’s city government to block the dumping of garbage and develop a more systematic plan for disposing of garbage; and “Duchess of Bubbly Creek”—named for a foul ditch with an unsavory smell—because she successfully lobbied for the cessation of stockyard refuse being dumped into the branch of the Chicago River that ran alongside the neighborhood.

Her biographer noted that she was not an “active church worker, but she writes of having hoped in 1894 that the residents of Packingtown might recognize the religion she was trying to express by moving into their neighborhood. Not that the Settlement could identify itself with any one religious group; only that its spirit was one with the spirit which, to McDowell, was religion.” She drew a sharp contrast between settlement houses and missions, like Vennard’s Wayside Settlement, when she spoke at the 1908 national conference of Methodist social workers in St. Louis. “Some one has said that where the mission sees sinners, the settlement sees citizens, and that where one believes in converting the individual, the other says the environment also must be converted, believing that pasture is at least as strong, if not stronger, than breed.” She then categorically denounced adopting any preconceived agenda for a neighborhood, particularly a religious one; instead, she cautioned settlement workers to wait patiently and work humbly for acceptance by their neighbors. Settlement work should have no “definite propaganda,” but simply go on “living with folks and responding to their needs as they make demands upon them.” Her dismissal of religion as a component of settlement work corresponded with the stance of the National Federation of Settlements (NFS), an organization
which she, Jane Addams, and other leading settlement leaders helped to found. The NFS eschewed the direct expression of religious sentiments in deference to the interreligious nature of tenement neighborhoods.³⁴

Humanitarianism Alongside Evangelism: Lucy Rider Meyer

Between Vennard’s and McDowell’s strategies stood Lucy Rider Meyer’s, a strategy that balanced humanitarianism and evangelism more equitably and winsomely. Meyer (1849-1922) was a Methodist standout by all counts: a prominent lay leader, the first laywoman to be seated as a delegate to the General Conference in 1904, and a pioneer of the deaconess movement. Raised in a Methodist home, she was converted at age thirteen. Her education was extensive, including a Bachelor of Arts from Oberlin College, studies in Chemistry at Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and, in later life, a medical degree from Woman's Medical College, Northwestern University. Prior to her deaconess work, she worked as a staff member for the Illinois State Sunday School Association, traveling throughout the state to churches, church conventions, and Sunday school gatherings. She found herself dumbfounded by how little churchgoers knew of the Bible. “… I became greatly impressed,” she confided, “with the astonishing, and to me alarming ignorance of the Bible on the part of our Church people, Sunday-school teachers, and Christian workers. My own knowledge of the word of God was superficial enough, but when I saw people looking for Jude in the Old Testament, or for one of the minor prophets in the New, I realized the great need of more thorough and comprehensive Bible study on the part of those who were, or might become religious teachers.”³⁵

Meyer went on to found the first Methodist deaconess training school, the Chicago Training School for City, Home and Foreign Missions, to which she added within a few years the
Chicago Deaconess Home. For over thirty years, she was its primary fundraiser, Bible teacher, and principal, while her husband, Josiah Shelley Meyer, worked alongside her as its business agent. By the time they retired, no less than forty agencies—hospitals, orphanages, training schools, and homes for the elderly—could trace their existence to the work of Lucy and Josiah Rider and graduates of the Chicago Training School. These agencies were staffed by deaconesses who had been trained to make a difference—in physical terms—among the people they visited. From visiting in city jails to teaching street children, from cleaning dirty tenement apartments to nursing the sick and dying, deaconesses served as early social workers, as historian Mary Agnes Dougherty argues, before the growing professionalization of the social work field edged them out.

At the same time, Meyer made sure that deaconesses incorporated religion into their humanitarianism. From her work experience with the Sunday School Association, she made sure that Bible study comprised a large portion of the education deaconesses received. At the Chicago Training School, for at least an hour every morning, five days a week, deaconesses-in-training studied both the content and context of all 66 books of the Bible. They learned as well how to develop Bible lessons that included maps, charts, and simple drawings intended to reinforce lessons. During the afternoons, deaconesses-in-training took the Bible with them as they visited house-to-house in city neighborhoods. Bible in hand, they tended the sick, impoverished, addicted, and dying. The impact of this commitment to the connection between Bible study and practical service is staggering. In one year alone, 1887-88, deaconesses-in-training paid more than 5,000 visits to homes and incorporated Bible readings and prayer in close to 600 homes; they also taught over 19,000 Bible lessons in Sunday Schools and more than 8,000 in Industrial Schools, all in a single year. United Methodist deaconesses today carry on this tradition,
exemplified by Meyer, in which Bible study and practical work strengthen the effectiveness of each other. As deaconess Kandi Mount explains, “We have a sterling example before us of Lucy Rider Meyer. She incorporated Biblical knowledge for use as practical knowledge. I, too, find that a fine way to work and to live.”

Along with Bible study, Meyer was committed personally to evangelism. She held her own belief about its importance, as she expressed in her article, “Deaconesses and the Need:”

A work for which God the Father spared not his own Son may well claim the intensest energies of every one of us, until it is done. But what my art, my literary pursuits, my society? May I not live for them? No, no, no! In a world full of souls with eternal life or death just before them – souls every one of whom has cost the heart’s blood of a God to redeem – no one has a right to live for art, or for literature, or for science, or society, or wealth. … All these things God intends as means and means alone – not an end – not to live for. We may use them just as long as they … can be used directly in furthering God’s work … To amass money that one may simply have it – O foolish one. ‘This night shall thy soul be required of thee.’ It is to lie down, after all, in an empty coffin.

She expressed this evangelistic charge in the training of deaconess visitors and deaconess evangelists in visitation and evangelism. Along with courses in Bible, church discipline, and church history, deaconesses-in-training selected from elective courses offered in Individual Evangelism, World Wide Evangelism, and The Psychology of Evangelism. They then devoted two hours on two afternoons a week in practical field work. As Meyer explained, “It is not a light matter to undertake in any degree to be the spiritual guide and help of an immortal soul; and
those who are to make this their constant work should be as well prepared as possible, by qualities both natural and acquired.”

Before embarking on their visitation rounds, students and instructors gathered for a prayer service, where they asked “for direction as to the words we spoke and the tracts we gave.” To illustrate that these prayers were not perfunctory, Meyer told a brief story about the transformation sparked by visitation. “In one home we found a young man very sick and unsaved. He said he ‘didn’t know how.’ We read some verses from the Bible and prayed with him, explaining as well as we could how simple the way of salvation is. He begged us to call again and we shall try to do so.”

Data from historian Mary Agnes Dougherty’s study on Methodist deaconesses confirm the high percentage of deaconesses in evangelism. In her statistics on the career profiles of 509 deaconesses who graduated from Meyer's training school, the number of deaconess evangelists—88—nearly equaled the number of deaconess nurses—87. The highest number in any category of deaconess work were deaconess visitors—381. This last number helps to measure the full extent of evangelistic work among deaconesses because the visitor was often also an evangelist who shared the gospel. Meyer herself explained that a deaconess visitor rejoiced “in little children rescued, souls saved, and the ‘sweetness and light’ of the gospel penetrating homes and hearts till now in the darkness and shadow of death.”

Given this profile of a deaconess visitor, Dougherty’s number of 381 deaconess visitors should be added to the 88 deaconess evangelists for a total of 469 deaconesses out of 509 who were engaged in evangelism. Attention to the spiritual as well as the physical needs of a person, in Dougherty’s opinion, separated the deaconess movement from the settlement movement, two movements that otherwise she finds to be quite similar. She concludes with this statement that encapsulates Meyer’s holistic strategy, “Convinced that the whole person, body, mind, and spirit had to be
considered in any philosophy of social work, deaconesses were pre-disposed to lament the purely secular social settlement’s insistence on the elimination of religion.”

A Holistic Mission Strategy for the Twenty-first Century

Meyer’s holistic strategy, in my estimation, with its combined attention to evangelism and humanitarianism provides the optimal foundation for mission. At the same time, elements from Vennard’s and McDowell’s strategies make contributions to Meyer’s strategy that will strengthen it even more. From Vennard’s strategy, it is the attention to evangelism, an activity that many Christians want to eschew because the very thought of it makes them uncomfortable. As an evangelism committee member in a local church put it, “The word evangelism kind of unnerves me and I think it unnerves a lot of people. … When you say ‘evangelism,’ people think Holy Roller.” The result is even well-intentioned, faithful Christians run from it. Or, there is the prevalent viewpoint that if Christians and non-Christians just rub shoulders together, “they’ll catch a dose of the gospel.” However, as Ron Sider, founder of Evangelicals for Social Action and professor at Palmer Theological Seminary, asserts, “Evangelism rarely happens by osmosis.” Former Methodist Bishop in Bolivia, Mortimer Arias, agrees. In this plenary address to the 1975 Nairobi Assembly of the World Council of Churches, he made this statement about evangelism, “Let us say at once that all action that claims to be evangelistic will have to ‘name the Name that is above all names,’ attempt the crossing of the frontier between faith and non-faith, and communicate the Good News in some way or other and to some degree.”

An emphasis on evangelism, however, does not mean doing anything to promote or prompt a religious conversion. Critics concerned about merging evangelism with humanitarianism often evoke the pejorative term, “rice Christians.” They contend that a religious
conversion might be conveniently conjured in order to receive the promised incentive. In a retrospect of mission work in his country, a Chinese bishop suggests that the enticement of western money created superficial Christian converts, or “rice Christians.” He writes, “ … China was the country in which the western missionary societies spent the most money and the field to which they sent the most missionaries. But the number of Christians was never large, and of that number quite a few were ‘rice’ Christians.”51 It is essential, therefore, not only to recognize but also take steps to minimize the power dynamic of humanitarianism alongside evangelism.

From McDowell’s strategy, it is the attention to wide ranging “everyday actions,” including direct political action, like hers that struck at the root causes of irresponsible and damaging garbage dumping. In particular, two attributes of McDowell’s actions should be considered for integration into a mission strategy. First, she engaged in these actions as a neighbor, as someone who lived in the community and smelled the same, putrefied garbage stench and walked the same picket line as the striking stockyard workers. For forty years, she lived and worked and acted to improve the neighborhood—her neighborhood—alongside her neighbors. Second, before an action commenced, she had done her homework—hard-nosed observation and statistical research. For instance, she found out that the death rate of babies in her neighborhood was higher than that of the city of Chicago as a whole, and she traced it to the garbage dump. Then, she convened a group of women from the neighborhood—her neighbors—to consider the facts. “ … the women are studying their precincts, and are putting on to their precinct maps the institutions that are good for the community and those that are bad for the community … The women are beginning to think as one about conditions that to them are political issues—the death rate of babies, to have clean milk, to bring in all the questions of
wholesome amusements, the censoring of moving pictures. All these things have become very real and practical things to the women of Chicago to work together for.”\textsuperscript{52}

Contemporary Methodist missiologist, Dana L. Robert, made the following declaration about mission: “I believe that authentic mission can be judged by whether it moves toward or away from the kingdom of God. Do the activities and spiritualities we employ in any given time and place connect or disconnect us with God’s reign, a reign already begun by Jesus’s ministry on earth but not yet consummated?” This historical case study of three Methodist women in the Progressive Era provides a strategy for mission in the 21\textsuperscript{st} century that is grounded in Lucy Rider Meyer’s balance of evangelism and humanitarianism with Iva Durham Vennard’s evangelistic fervor rooting one side and Mary E. McDowell’s “everyday actions” against injustice rooting the other side.
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